LAW OFFICES **DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.** 30150 TELEGRAPH ROAD STE. 410 • BINGHAM FARMS, MICHIGAN 48025 • 248-723-9520

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EVELYN C. HUNTER,

United States District Court

Case No.

Plaintiff,

٧.

Lower Court Case No. DC 2-10-2016

2-10-2067

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC., UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP, and CITIBANK MASTERCARD,

Defendants.

EVELYN C. HUNTER

Pro Se Plaintiff 515 Ferris Street Ypsilanti, MI 48147 Phone: (734) 487-9269

RONALD B. RICH & ASSOCIATES

By: Jan Jeffrey Rubenstein Attorneys for United Recovery Systems, LP 30665 Northwestern Hwy. Suite 280

Farmington Hills, MI 48334 Phone: (248) 851-4411 Fax: (248) 851-1094

DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.

By: Gregory R. Neidle (P59723)
Daniel J. Ammon (P50923)
Attorneys for Defendant, United
Collection Bureau and Citibank
South Dakota, only
30150 Telegraph Road
Suite 410
Bingham Farms, MI 48025
Phone: (248) 723-9511
Fax: (248) 723-9531

JOINT NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendants, UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC. and CITIBANK SOUTH DAKOTA (incorrectly identified as "Citibank MasterCard") by their attorney, Gregory R. Neidle, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 et. seq. and 28 U.S.C. § 1446, respectfully file this Joint Notice of Removal, and removes the above captioned matter from the 14A-2 District Court, City of Ypsilanti, Michigan, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division. In support of their Notice, Defendants state:

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff, Evelyn C. Hunter, is upon information and belief, a resident of Ypsilanti, Washtenaw County, Michigan.
- 2. Defendant United Collection Bureau, Inc. ("UCB") is an Ohio Corporation, headquartered at 5620 Southwyck Boulevard, Suite 206, Toledo, Ohio 43614.
- 3. Defendant, United Recovery Systems, LP, ("URS") is a Texas Corporation, headquartered at 5800 North Course Drive, Houston, Texas 77072.
- 4. Defendant, Citibank South Dakota ("Citibank") (incorrectly identified as "Citibank MasterCard") is a South Dakota Corporation, headquartered at 701 E 60th Street N, Sioux Falls, SD 57104-0432.

Proceedings to Date

- 5. Plaintiff originally filed this action against UCB only, in the small claims division of the 14A-2 District Court, City of Ypsilanti, Michigan, on or about September 24, 2010 (**Exhibit** 1).
- 6. On or about November 12, 2010, UCB's Michigan registered agent received a copy of the Summons and Complaint filed by Plaintiff.
- 7. On or about November 23, 2010, UCB filed a Demand and Order for Removal from the small claims court to the District Court for the City of Ypsilanti (Exhibit 2).
- 8. On or about November 30, 2010, the case was removed to the general civil division of the 14A-2 District Court (**Exhibit 3**).
- 9. On or about December 2, 2010, UCB filed a Motion to Strike or Alternatively for a More Definite Statement (**Exhibit 4**).
- 10. On or about January 14, 2011, Plaintiff filed a separate action in the 14A-2 District Court entitled "Plaintiff's Motion to Join Parties, Motion to Leave to Court to Amend its

Complaint for United Collection Bureau, and, United Recovery Systems, L.P., Case No. DC 2-10-2067 (See Exhibit 5).

- 11. Plaintiff's second action named UCB as a Defendant and named two additional Defendants, URS and Citibank.
- 12. On or about February 1, 2011, 14A-2 District Court Judge Cedric Simpson entered an "Order Denying in Part Defendant's Motion to Strike, or Alternatively for a More Definite Statement; and Order Joining Defendant and Consolidating Case Numbers and All Claims." (the "Order") (See **Exhibit 6**).
- 13. The Order joined UCB as a party to the second action and consolidated the two actions.
- 14. On or about February 8, 2011, Citibank was served a copy of Plaintiff's Summons and Complaint (Exhibit 7).
- 15. Plaintiff's claims in this action seek damages for alleged acts by Defendants in their attempt to collect a debt owed by Plaintiff in apparent violation of two federal statutes, the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §1692 *et. seq.* Therefore a federal question is apparent on the face of Plaintiff's Complaint. (See Exhibit 4).

Grounds for Removal

- 16. This case may be removed from the state court to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan pursuant to 28 USC §1331 and §1441(a) (b) and (c).
- 17. Suits pursuant to the FDCPA "may be brought in any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy". 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d).
- 18. An FDCPA action filed in state court may be removed to federal court by the defendant. *McGilvray v. Hallmark Financial Group, Inc.*, 891 F.Supp. 265 (D.Va. 1995).

2:11-cv-10559-AC-PJK Doc # 1 Filed 02/11/11 Pg 4 of 65 Pg ID 4

19. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, a federal question is present on the

face of Plaintiff's pleading and therefore, the District Court shall have original jurisdiction of all

civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

20. Removal is timely and proper under the "last-served defendant rule," which

permits each defendant, upon formal service of process, thirty days to file a notice of removal

pursuant to § 1446(b). Brierly v. Alusuisse Flexible Packaging, Inc., 184 F.3d 527, 532 (6th Cir.

1999). As Plaintiff served Citibank with a copy of Plaintiff's Summons and Complaint on

February 8, 2011, Defendants' Joint Notice of Removal is timely filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

1446(b).

21. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1448(a), a true and accurate copy of all process,

pleadings and orders received by Defendants are attached hereto as Exhibits "1, 2, 3, 4, 5 6

and 7", and are being filed along with this Joint Notice of Removal.

22. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446, attorneys for Defendant, United Recovery

Systems, LP, consent to and join in this Joint Notice of Removal filed by Defendants UCB and

Citibank.

Notice

23. Defendants have filed a copy of this Notice with the 14A-2 Judicial District Court

as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

24. By reason above, Defendants are entitled to remove this action to this Court

under 28 U.S.C. §1441.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 11, 2011

/s/ Gregory R. Neidle

DOBBS & NEIDLE, PC

30150 Telegraph Road, Suite 410

Bingham Farms, MI 48025

Phone: (248) 723-9511

Email: gneidle@dobbsneidle.com

4

MI Bar Number P59273 Attorneys for Defendants United Collection Bureau and Citibank South Dakota, only

/s/ Jan Jeffrey Rubenstein with consent RONALD B. RICH & ASSOCIATES 30665 Northwestern Hwy. Suite 280 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

Phone: (248) 851-4411 Fax: (248) 851-1094

Attorneys for United Recovery Systems, LP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 11, 2011 I electronically filed the foregoing paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

N/A.

and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the paper to the following non ECF participants:

EVELYN C. HUNTER 515 Ferris Street Ypsilanti, MI 48147

Jan Jeffrey Rubenstein, Esq. Ronald B. Rich & Associates 30665 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 280 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

/s/ Gregory R. Neidle
DOBBS & NEIDLE, PC
30150 Telegraph Road, Suite 410
Bingham Farms, MI 48025
Phone: (248) 723-9511

Email: gneidle@dobbsneidle.com

MI Bar Number P59273

EXHIBIT

1

2:11-cv-10559-AC-PJK Filed 02/11/11 Pg 7 of 65 Doc # 1

To order this form, call (517) 337-121 2nd copy - Plaintiff Original - Court Target Information Management, Inc 3rd copy - Return 1st copy - Defendant Approved, SCAO CASE NO. STATE OF MICHIGAN AFFIDAVIT AND CLAIM JUDICIAL DISTRICT **Small Claims** Court àddress plaintiff and defendant copies. NOTICE OF HEARING For Court Use Only Plaintif 15! The plaintiff and the defendant must be in court on Location Fee paid: Process server's name osa grueta bakausa 3. I have knowledge or belief about all the facts stated in this affidavit and I am All 2 corre a full-time employee of the plaintiff. \square the plaintiff. 🔲 a partner. (check one) 🔲 a corporation 🦯 a sole proprietor. 4. The plaintiff is (check one) 🖼 an individual. 🔲 a partnership. a corporation. a sole proprietor a partnership. an individual. 5. The defendant is (check one) 6. The date(s) the claim arose are Plaintiff's costs are determined by the court and awarded as appropriate. They are not part of the amount claimed.) 7. Amount of money claimed is \$ 8. A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in this complaint ia sidospor ej bis cista de 5 de Court. The case number, if known, is has been previously filed in The action remains is no longer 9. The reasons for the claim are

10. The plaintiff understands and accepts that the claim is limited to \$3,000.00 by law and that the plaintiff gives up the rights to (a) recover more than this limit, (b) an attorney, (c) a jury trial, and (d) appeal the judge's decision.

11. I believe the defendant 🗌 is 🗀 is not mentally competent. I believe the defendant 🞾 is 🗀 is not 18 years or older.

12. 🔲 I do not know whether the defendant is in the military service. 🖾 The defendant is not in the military service. \square The defendant is in the military service.

13.

Signature Subscribed and sworn to before me on County, Michigan.

Deputy clerk/Notary public

Notary public, State of Michigan, County of

14. Expiration date:

DC 84 (3/09) AFFIDAVIT AND CLAIM, Small Claims

MCL 600.8401 et seq., MCR 4.302, MCR 4.303

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF WASHTENAW COUNTY

Evelyn Celo Hunter,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.

 \mathbf{V}

HON:

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC.

Defendant,

MOTION FOR BREACH OF DUTY/FRAUDULENT MISPRESENTATION/VIOLATION OF MICHIGAN FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICE ACT, UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE ACT, AND OCCUPATIONAL CODE ACT

NOW Comes, Evelyn C. Hunter, Plaintiff (hereafter,) into this Honorable Court Pursuant to Small Caims; and request the Court to grant its order for Judgment against UNITED **COLLECTION BUREAU, INC.** in the Relief requested based on the following:

PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. On or about July 28, 2010 Plaintiff, sent Defendant, an Notice and Demand for Proof of Claims, certified mail number, 7008 1140 0001 0457 1647. Signed by your agent on July 30, 2010. In response to defendants presentment. defendant was given 14 days and elected to remain silent.
- 2. On or about August 13, 2010, Plaintiff sent to Defendant Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure certified mail number, 7010 0780 0000 5845 0777. Signed by defendants agent on August 16, 2010. Requesting resolution of the dispute prior to filing a complaint, defendant was given 7 days and elected to remain silent.
- 3. That, on September 01,2010 the Plaintiff sent to Defendant a Demand for Payment certified mail number, 7010 0780 0000 5845 0746. Signed by your agent on September 03, 2010. defendant was given 3 days to accept or reject said offer and elected to remain silent.

WHEREFORE Defaulting having occurred UNITED COLLECTIONS BUREAU, INC. having "Defaulted" Plaintiff is entitled to judgment as a matter of law Based on following grounds:

1. That, Every contract or duty imposes an Obligation of Good Faith in its performance or enforcement. if anything, good faith is a minimum standard of conduct in any contact or duty. The legislature has specifically declared is a call for "substantial compliance with the spirit, and not the letter only, of the duty" in its performance. "Good faith" is merely a shorter way of saying something. MCL 440. 1203.

COUNT I MICHIGAN OCCUPATIONAL CODE ACT

- 2. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding statements by reference.
- 3. Defendant, violated Michigan Occupational Code Act, including but not limited to the following:
- a. By Failing to cease communication after the Plaintiff notified the Defendant in writing that he refused to pay the alleged debt and that he wished the Defendant to cease further communication with him;
 - b. Using generally false, misleading or unfair methods to collect the alleged debt;
 - c. Communicating credit information which is known or which be known to be false;
 - d. Using unfair or un conscionable means collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt;
 - e. Failing to cease collection of the alleged debt, until the Defendant obtained verification of the debt.
- 4. Plaintiff has suffered damages as a result of Defendant violations of (MOCA).

COUNT II MICHIGAN DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 5. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding statement by reference.
- 6. That, violated the Michigan Collection Practice Act, MCL 445. 251 et seq. include, but are not limited to the following:
 - Making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue, or deceptive statement or claim in a communication to collect a debt;
 - b. Using a harassing, oppressive, or abusive method to collect a debt;
 - c. Failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee in violation of MCL 445. 252 (q).
- 7. Plaintiff, has suffered damages as a result of Defendants violations of the (MDCPA).

COUNT III DEFAMATION

- 8. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding statement of facts by reference.
- 9. Defendants, conduct constitutes defamation at common law.
- 10. Defendants, false communication, acts and omissions resulted in defamation of Plaintiff.
- 11. Defendants, false communication, acts, and omissions harmed the reputation of Plaintiff.
- 12. Defendants, false communication were the type that would and/or did deter a third person from associating or dealing with Plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff, has been damaged as a result of and is entitled to damages, costs and reasonable attorney fees.

COUNT IV INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

14. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding statement of facts.

15. Defendants, conduct has inflicted emotional distress that with regard to defendants assentation's and or solicitation. Without the requisite investigation. That, is the duty of good faith is defined as a covenant that neither party shall do anything which will have the effect of destroying or injuring the right of the other party to receive the fruits of the contract.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

Under Michigan Law, A Plaintiff alleging a claim of negligence must demonstrate the following four elements: 1) A duty owe to Plaintiff by Defendant, 2) Breach of that duty, 3) Causation, and 4) Damages. Case v. Consumers Powers Co. 615 N.W. Once a duty is found, the violation of the statute may demonstrate prima facie evidence of negligence in light of all the relevant evidence, Teadt, supra.

STANDARD OF REVIEW FOR FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION

Under Michigan Law, to establish a prima facie claim of fraudulent misrepresentation, a Plaintiff must prove that (1) the Defendant made the material representation, (2) the representation was false; (3) Defendant made it recklessly, without knowledge of its truth or falsity, and as a positive assertion. (4) Defendant made it with the intention that Plaintiff act on it. (5) the Plaintiff acted on it; (6) Plaintiff suffered damages.

ARGUMENT

MCL. 339. 918. Sec. 918. (1) WITHIN 5 DAYS AFTER THE INITIAL COMMUNICATION WITH A CONSUMER IN CONNECTION WITH A COLLECTION OF A DEBT, A COLLECTION AGENCY SHALL SEND THE CONSUMER, UNLESS THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS CONTAINED IN THE INITIAL COMMUNICATION OR THE CONSUMER

HAS PAID THE DEBT, A WRITTEN NOTICE CONTAINING ALL OF THE FOLLOWING.

THE AMOUNT OF THE DEBT OWED.

THE DATE THE COMMUNICATION WAS SENT TO THE DEBTOR.

THE NAME OF THE CREDITOR TO WHOM THE DEBT IS OWED.

A STATEMENT SPECIFYING THAT UNLESS THE CONSUMER, WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE, DISPUTES THE VALIDITY OF THE DEBT, THE DEBT WILL BE ASSUMED TO BE VALID.

A STATEMENT SPECIFYING THAT, IF THE CONSUMER

NOTIFIES THE COLLECTION AGENCY IN WRITING WITHIN 30

DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE, THAT THE DEBT, OR
ANY PORTION OF THE DEBT, IS DISPUTED, THE COLLECTION
AGENCY SHALL OBTAIN VERIFICATION OF THE DEBT OR A

COPY OF A JUDGMENT AGAINST THE CONSUMER AND THAT A
COPY OF THE VERIFICATION OR JUDGMENT SHALL BE

MAILED TO THE CONSUMER BY THE COLLECTION AGENCY.

- (2) IF THE CONSUMER NOTIFIES THE COLLECTION AGENCY IN WRITING, WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER RECEIVING THE NOTICE, THAT THE DEBT, OR ANY PORTION OF THE DEBT, IS DISPUTED, COLLECTION OF THE DEBT OR ANY DISPUTED PORTION OF THE DEBT SHALL CEASE UNTIL THE COLLECTION AGENCY OBTAINS VERIFICATION OF THE DEBT AND A COPY OF THE VERIFICATION OR JUDGMENT IS MAILED TO THE CONSUMER BY THE COLLECTION AGENCY. VERIFICATION OF THE DEBT SHALL INCLUDE THE NUMBER AND AMOUNT OF PREVIOUSLY MADE PAYMENTS AND THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE ORIGINAL CREDITOR, OR A COPY OF THE JUDGMENT AGAINST THE DEBTOR.
- (3) THE FAILURE OF A CONSUMER TO DISPUTE THE VALIDITY OF A DEBT UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED AS ADMISSION OF LIABILITY BY THE CONSUMER.
- a. If the language Legislature use is Clear and Unambiguous, no judicial

interpretation of the statute is Permitted.

LAW AND ARGUMENT

- 16. Every Contract or duty within U.C.C. act imposes an obligation of good faith in its performance or enforcement. M.C.L. 440. 1101. That is, if Defendant had acted with due diligence. Saying that if it could not meet the terms and conditions for any reason had an obligation to notify Plaintiff of such, since it was Defendant who inflicted this emotional distress. [T]he requirements of "good faith"... is but a recognition that the ... parties ... have understanding or expectations that they did not have to negotiate about those expectations. When the Legislature ... holds that "good faith" requires a party not to violate those expectations, it is recognizing that sometimes silence says more than words.
- 17. The remedies provided by this act shall be liberally administered to the end that a party may be put in as good a position as if the other party has fully performed its' duty. M.C.L. 440. 1106(1). That is, if defendant would have exercised ordinary care and fully investigation this matter before it solicited Plaintiff would been able to provide plaintiff with the promise it made in its presentment to discharge of said claim. See exhibit (d).
- 18. Dishonor of an accepted draft is governed by the following rules. If the draft is payable on demand, the draft is dishonored if presentment for payment is duly made to the acceptor and the draft is not paid on the day of presentment. that is, on the above dates defendant had a obligation to provide Plaintiff with said request.
- 19. A party may after default take possession, of reduce its' claim to judgment.
 M.C.L. 440. 3502(4)(a). that is, all matters are estoppel, and all claims asserted against defendant is deemed admitted.

- 20. Whereas, "UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC. a collecting agency failed to send items by a reasonably prompt method, taking into consideration relevant instructions, the nature of the item, the cost of collection involved, and the methods generally used by it or others to present those items. M.C.L. 440. 4204(1). That is, a right to equal protection of the law.
- 21. The amount of the damages for failure to exercise ordinary care in handling an item is the amount of the item reduced by and amount that could not have realized by exercise of ordinary care. If there is bad faith, it includes any other damages the party suffered as a proximate consequence. M.C.L. 440. 4103. (5).

CONCLUSION OF LAW

22. Move over, April 21, 2010. Our U.S. Supreme Court ruled in a 7-2 decision that THE BONA ERROR DEFENSE DOES NOT APPLY TO MISTAKES OF LAW' in JERMAN V CARLISLE, it started off by stating: IGNORANCE IS NO EXCUSE TO THE LAW. and Further discusses that one may violate a law unintentionally and still be held liable.

WHEREFORE "<u>Defaulting</u>" having occurred, by UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC. and the Cause of Action for Breach occurs when the breach occurs. as a complete bar to Plaintiff's Recovery, is Contrary to the Plain Language of MCLA 600. 6304, which sets forth the method of apportioning damages and allocating fault in a tort case, defines "<u>fault</u>" as follows:

MCLA 600. 6304(8)

"As used in this section, "fault" includes an act, an omission, conduct, including intentional conduct, a breach of warranty, or a breach of a legal duty,

or any conduct that could give rise to the imposition of strict liability, that is a proximate cause of damage sustained by a party.

RELIEF SOUGHT

Plaintiff request relief in the amount of \$3,000.00

Respectfully Submitted,

Evelyn C. Herter

Evelyn Celo Hunter, Plaintiff

STATE OF MICHIGAN

EXHIBIT "A"

NOTICE OF DEMAND FOR PROOF OF CLAIMS

Evelyn Celo Hunter 9/23/2010

NOTICE AND DEMAND Proof of Claims

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC.

5620 SOUTHWYCK BLVD STE 206

TOLEDO, OHIO 43614

7/26/2010

RE: ASSIGNMENT ACCOUNT #5424180614993217.

NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR PROOF OF CLAIMS

Your Presentment is hereby accepted for value and return for discharge predicated upon your proof of claims. for I neither admitted or deny the charges asserted above therein for I lack sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the matters asserted. or this may have incurred by identity theft. please provide me with a full contract disclosure, binding me to such debt, a complete payment history, and the terms and conditions of said contract, to the below address, until then you are to reframe yourself from communicating with me until these demands are met, until then I refuse to pay said debt.

You have 14 days to upon receipt of this Notice to forward said proof of claims, if you fail to so it will be construed as a complete wavier of any and all charges against. and you will agree to compensate me for any suffering as a result for phones calls, failure to comply with all said terms of this agreement.

Until then I am without Prejudice,

Evelyn Hunter

515 Ferris Street

Ypsilanti, Michigan 48197

***this communication is from concerned consumer. this is an attempt to dispute a debt and any information obtain will be used for that purpose. ***

EVELYN C HUNTER 515 FERRIS ST YPSILANTI MI 48197-5303 Re: CITI MASTERCARD

Creditor: CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA) NA

Account No: < E>

5424180614993217

Balance Due:

\$1968.59

Unless you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, please make your payment to Citi at the remit address below or call our office for arrangements.

As of the date of this letter, you owe the above referenced balance. Because of interest charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above sal adjustment may be necessary after your check is received. For further information, write this office or call the above referenced number.

When calling our office at 1-800-890-8738, please refer to reference number 5 1200-73-121115 NOTICE AND PROJECT STAIMS

Sincerely.

United Collection Bureau, Inc.

Business Hours:

8:00AM - 9:00PM Eastern Time Monday - Thursday

8:00AM - 8:00PM Eastern Time Friday 8:00AM - 12:00PM Eastern Time Saturday

This is an attempt to collect a debt by UCB, Inc., a debt collector, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

ICU027000CDN

PO BOX 140310 **TOLEDO OH 43614**

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

PLEASE RETURN THIS PORTION WITH PAYMENT DO NOT ATTACH CHECK TO STUB.

Re: CITI MASTERCARD

Creditor: CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA) NA

EMREMIT TO:

Creditor Account No: 5424180614993217

UCB Account No:

51490273 Balance Due: \$1968.59

Please check box if address shown is incorrect or insurance information has changed, and indicate change(s) on reverse side.

51490273-CDN 352362606 **EVELYN C HUNTER** 515 FERRIS ST YPSILANTI MI 48197-5303

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC. PO BOX 140310 **TOLEDO OH 43614**

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE»

Track & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.



Gos

Track & Confirm

Cas	rnis	Resi	ilte
	11:13	4.0 mm x 4	1 7 7 7

Label/Receipt Number: 7008 1140 0001 0457 1647 Class: First-Class Mail®

Service(s): Certified Mail Return Receipt

Your Item was delivered at 9:31 am on July 30, 2010 in TOLEDO, OH 43614

Detailed Results:

- Delivered, July 30, 2010, 9:31 am, TOLEDO, OH 43614
 Notice Left, July 30, 2010, 9:05 am, TOLEDO, OH 43614
 Arrival at Unit, July 30, 2010, 9:04 am, TOLEDO, OH 43614
 Acceptance, July 28, 2010, 2:27 pm, YPSILANTI, MI 48197

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. 605

Customer Service

PS Form 3811, February 2004

Forms

Govt Services

Privacy Policy

Terms of Use

Copyright® 2010 USPS. All Rights Reserved.

No FEAR Act EEO Data

Cateers

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS IN-	U.S. Postal Service in CERTIFIED MAIL, RECEIPT (Pomestic Mail Only: No Insurance Coverage Provided	ı
Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete item 4 if Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.	A. Signature	FordalizaryInformation visitions victorite als victorite cours. TOLEDO GH 43614 C A L ST 677	D. 798
Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits. 1. Article Addressed to: UNIFED CONCONOR BUREAU	D. Is delivery con	Certified Fee \$2.80 56 Return Receipt Fee \$2.70	2010
5 620 SOUTHWICK BLOW	END CIEM	(andorsement Required) Total Postage & Fees \$ \$5.54 07/28/2015	8)
TOLEDO, DHID 43614	3. Service Type Certified Mail Registered Insured Mail Restricted Delive	Street, Apt. No.; 5/20 SOUHIWICK BIND. ON SOUHIWICK BIND. City, State, ZIP+4 TOLTOS, OHIO 43614	V SIE 200
2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7008 1140	1 0001 0457	DE 47	

102595-02-M-1540

Domestic Return Receipt

STATE OF MICHIGAN

EXHIBIT "B"

NOTICE OF DEFAULT /DEMAND FOR PROOF OF CLAIMS

Evelyn Celo Hunter 9/23/2010

NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC.

5620 SOUTHWYCK BLVD STE 206

TOLEDO, OHIO 43614

7/26/2010

RE: ASSIGNMENT ACCOUNT #5424180614993217.

NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR PROOF OF CLAIMS

Your Presentment is hereby accepted for value and return for discharge predicated upon your proof of claims. I deny the charges asserted above therein for I lack sufficient knowledge to form a belief as to the truth of the matters asserted. or this may have incurred by identity theft. please provide me with a full contract disclosure, binding me to such debt, a complete payment history. and the terms and conditions of said contract. to the below address. until then you are to reframe yourself from communicating with me until these demands are met. until then I refuse to pay said debt.

You have 7 days to upon receipt of this Notice to forward said proof of claims, if you fail to so it will be construed as a complete wavier of any and all charges against. and you will agree to compensate me for any suffering as a result for phones calls, failure to comply with all said terms of this agreement. the amount of damages shall be the amount of said item.

Until then I am without Prejudice,

Evelyn Hunter
Welleyn Feesler
515 Ferris Street

Ypsilanti, Michigan 48197

***this communication is from an Aggrieved Party. this is an attempt to dispute a debt and any information obtain will be used for that purpose. ***

EVELYN C HUNTER 515 FERRIS ST YPSILANTI MI 48197-5303 Re: CITI MASTERCARD

Creditor: CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA) NA Account No: 5424180614993217

Balance Due:

\$1968.59

COP

Unless you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, please make your payment of citi at the remit address below or call our office for arrangements.

As of the date of this letter, you owe the above referenced balance. Because of interest charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay may be greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after your check is received. For further information, write this affice or call the above referenced number.

When calling our office at 1-800-890-8/38, please refer to reference number 51490273.

Sincerely,

United Collection Bureau, Inc.

Business Hours: 8:00AM - 9:00PM Eastern Time Monday - Thursday

8:00AM - 8:00PM Eastern Time Friday 8:00AM - 12:00PM Eastern Time Saturday

This is an attempt to collect a debt by UCB, Inc., a debt collector, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days from receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR IMPORTANT INFORMATION

ICU027000CDN

PLEASE RETURN THIS PORTION WITH PAYMENT, DO NOT ATTACH CHECK TO STUB.

Re: CITI MASTERCARD

Creditor: CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA) NA

Creditor Account No: 5424180614993217

UCB Account No:

51490273

Balance Due:

\$1968.59

#REMIT TO:

Please check box if address shown is incorrect or insurance information has changed, and indicate change(s) on reverse side.

ADDRESS SERVICE REQUESTED

51490273-CDN

PO BOX 140310

TOLEDO OH 43614

352362606

նիկիկորդիրիկին հրագույանությունը և կերկություն

EVELYN C HUNTER 515 FERRIS ST YPSILANTI MI 48197-5303 UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC. PO BOX 140310 TOLEDO OH 43614

4605

STATE OF MICHIGAN

EXHIBIT "C"

DEMAND FOR PAYMENT / DEMAND FOR PROOF OF CLAIMS

Evelyn Celo Hunter 9/23/2010 United Collections Bureau, inc

5620 Southwyck Blvd. ste. 206

Toledo, Ohio 43614

08/26/2010.

Re: Assignment Account number: 5424180614993217

Please find herein a True copy of an Demand for Payment and Settlement Agreement. You have 72 hours to accept or reject this offer upon receipt.

Thank you for your time and assistance in this regard.

Until then, I am Without Prejudiuce,

Evelyn & Juntee

Evelyn C. Hunter.

Evelyn C. Hunter

515 Ferris Street

Ypsilanti, Mi. 48198

v.

United Collection Bureau, Inc.

5620 Southwyck Blvd. ste 206

Toledo, Ohio 43614

DEMAND FOR PAYMENT AND SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement Agreement") is entered into between Evelyn C. Hunter (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), and United Collection Bureau, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant").

RECITALS

- A. On or about July 28, 2010, Plaintiff, sent United Collection Bureau Inc. an Notice and Demand for Proof of Claims, certified mail number 7008 1140 0001 0457 1647. Sign by your agent on July 30, 2010. you have failed to make your regularly scheduled payment, putting you in default.
- B. On or about August 13, 2010, Plaintiff sent to Defendant an Notice of Default and Opportunity to Cure certified mail number 7010 0780 0000 5845 0760. Signed by your agent on August 16, 2010. requesting resolution of the dispute prior to filing a complaint.
- C. The parties desire to enter into this Settlement Agreement in order to provide for certain payments in full settlement and discharge of all claims which are or might become the subject of a Complaint, upon the term and conditions set forth herein.

Agreement

The parties hereto agree as follows:

1. Release and Discharge

In consideration of Defendant's agreement to make the payments called for herein the Plaintiff completely releases and forever discharge the Defendant, of and from any and all past, present or future claims, demands, obligations, actions, causes of action, rights, damages, costs, loss of service, expenses and compensation which the Plaintiff now has, or which may hereafter accrue or otherwise be acquired by Plaintiff, on account of, or in any way growing out of the faxed advertisement.

2. Consideration

In consideration of the release set forth above, Defendant hereby agrees to pay Plaintiff five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00). Defendant further agrees to no longer solicit Plaintiff. Defendant agrees to liquidated damages in the amount of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) for each solicitation after execution of this Agreement.

3. Attorneys' Fees

As provided by the (FDCPA) Defendant shall bear attorneys' fees and costs arising from actions.

4. Warranty of Capacity to Execute Agreement

The Plaintiff represents and warrants that no other person or entity has or has had and interest in the claims, demands, obligation, or cause of action referred to in this Settlement Agreement, except as otherwise set forth herein, and that it has not sod, assigned, transferred, conveyed or otherwise disposed of any of the claims, demands, obligations, or causes of action referred to in this Settlement Agreement.

5. Entire Agreement and Successors in Interest

This Settlement Agreement contains the entire agreement between the Plaintiff and Defendant with regard to the matters set forth herein and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the executors, administrators, personal representatives, heirs, successors and assigns of each.

6. Representation of Comprehension of Document

In entering into this Settlement Agreement, the Plaintiff represents that it has relied upon the legal advice of its attorneys, who are the attorneys of its own choice and that the terms of this Settlement Agreement have been completely read and explained to it by its attorneys, and that those terms are fully understood and voluntarily accepted by it.

7. Governing Law

This Settlement Agreement shall be construed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of Michigan.

8. Additional Documents

All parties agree to cooperate fully and execute any and all supplementary documents and to take all additional action which may be necessary or appropriate to give full force and effect to the basic terms and intent of this Settlement Agreement.

9. Effectiveness

This Settlement Agreement shall become effective on execution.

and the same	A INTITED STATES
Same of	Make the Real of the same of the State of the and
5	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE:

Home | Help | Sign In

Truck & Costim

102595-02-M-1540

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

FAQs

602

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: 7010 0780 0000 5845 0739

Class: First-Class Mail® Service(s): Certified Mail™ Return Receipt

Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 9:36 am on September 03, 2010 in TOLEDO, OH 43614.

Detailed Results:

- Delivered, September 03, 2010, 9:36 am, TOLEDO, OH 43614
 Arrival at Unit, September 03, 2010, 8:31 am, TOLEDO, OH 43614
 Acceptance, September 01, 2010, 4:08 pm, YPSILANTI, MI 48197

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email ULS Posial Service... dates for vour item sent to you or ot alianne de la compansion de la compansio SENDER: GOMPLETE THIS SECTION COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DE m Complete items 1, 2, and 3. Also complete Porte de la companio item 4 If Restricted Delivery is desired. Print your name and address on the reverse NORTHWOOD OH 43619 so that we can return the card to you. Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, \$0.44 Received by (Pript Postage or on the front if space permits. ய \$2.80 Certified Fee 1. Article Addressed to: D. Is delivery address different from iten ILYES, enter delivery address below Return Receipt Fee (dorsement Required) \$2.30 SUPE SOUTHWICK BIDES SUPER 206 TOTEDO, OH/O 43614 Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) \$0.00 0780 \$5.54 Total Postage & Fees 3. Service Type Certified Mail ☐ Express Mail ☐ Registered Return Receip ☐ Insured Mail □ C.O.D. Restricted Delivery? (Extra Fee) 2. Article Number (Transfer from service label) 7010 0780 0000 5845 0739 PS Form 3811, February 2004 Domestic Return Receipt

EXHIBIT

2

2:11-cv-10559-AC-PJK Doc # 1 Filed 02/11/11 Pg 31 of 65 Pg ID 31

Original - Court 1st copy - Plaintiff 2nd copy - Defendant

Approved, SCAO

STATE OF MICHIGAN

CASE NO.

14A-2 JUDICIAL DISTRICT	DEMAND AND ORDER FOR REMOVAL Small Claims	DC 2101754 SC
Court address		Court telephone no.
415 W. Michigan Ave. Ypsilanti, MI 481	97	(734) 484-6690
Plaintiffs name, address, and tele	phone no.	
EVELYN C. HUNTER Pro Se Plaintiff 515 Ferris Street, Ypsilanti, Phone: (734) 487-9269	MI 48147	
V	☐ Personal service	
Defendant's name, address, and t	elephone no.	
UNITED COLLECTION BU 5620 Southwyck Boulevard Toledo, OH, 43614 Phone: 419-866-6227		
This demand is made by	tiff. □ plaintiff's attorney. □ defendant	t.
I demand that this case be removed from 11/23/2010 Date	DEMAND om the small claims division to the general civil divi Signature of Party demanding Gregory R. Nigidle (P5) Name (type or print) 30150 Velegraph Road Address	gremoval 9273) d, Suite 410
	Bingham Farms, MI 48 City, state, zip	3025 248-723-9511 Telephone no.
	ORDER ed to the general civil division of the court for further ays from the date of this order as provided in court	
Date	Judge/Attorney magistrate	Bar no.
	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	
I certify that on this date I served a cop their last-known addresses as defined	y of this demand and order on the parties or their a in MCR 2.107(C)(3).	ttorneys by first-class mail addressed to
Date	Court clerk	

2:11-cv-10559-AC-PJK Doc # 1 Filed 02/11/11 Pg 32 of 65 Pg ID 32
EXHIBIT 3

2:11-cv-10559-AC-PJK Doc # 1 Filed 02/11/11 Pg 33 of 65 Pg ID 33

Original - Court 1st copy - Plaintiff Approved, SCAO 2nd copy - Defendant STATE OF MICHIGAN CASE NO. 14A-2 JUDICIAL DISTRICT **DEMAND AND ORDER FOR REMOVAL** DC 2101754 SC **Small Claims** Court address Court telephone no. 415 W. Michigan Ave. Ypsilanti, MI 48197 (734) 484-6690 Plaintiff's name, address, and telephone no. **EVELYN C. HUNTER** Pro Se Plaintiff 515 Ferris Street, Ypsilanti, MI 48147 Phone: (734) 487-9269 Personal service Defendant's name, address, and telephone no. UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC., 5620 Southwyck Boulevard, Suite 206 Toledo, OH, 43614 Phone: 419-866-6227 ☐ Personal service This demand is made by plaintiff. plaintiff's attorney. defendant. defendant's attorney. DEMAND I demand that this case be removed from the small claims division to the general civil division of the court. 11/23/2010 Date Signature of par demanding removal Gregory R. Neidle (P59273) Name (type or print) 30150 Velegraph Road, Suite 410 Bingham Farms, MI 48025 248-723-9511 City, state, zip Telephone no. U ORDER IT IS ORDERED: This case is removed to the general civil division of the court for further proceedings. The defendant shall file a written answer and serve it within 14 days from the date of this order as provided in court rule. NOV 3 0 2010 Date Attorney magistrate
J. CEDRIC SIMPSON P39536 Bar no. **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING** I certify that on this date I served a copy of this demand and order on the parties or their attorneys by first-class mail addressed to their last-known addresses as defined in MCR 2.107(C)(3).

2:11-cv-10559-AC-PJK Doc # 1 Filed 02/11/11 Pg 34 of 65 Pg ID 34	
EXHIBIT 4	

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 14A-2 DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW EVELYN C. HUNTER.

Plaintiff.

Case No. DC 2101754 SC Hon. J. Cedric Simpson

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC.

Defendant.

EVELYN C. HUNTER

Pro Se Plaintiff 515 Ferris Street Ypsilanti, MI 48147 Phone: (734) 487-9269 DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.

By: Gregory R. Neidle (P59723) Daniel J. Ammon (P50923)

Attorneys for Defendant 30150 Telegraph Road

Suite 410

Bingham Farms, MI 48025 Phone: (248) 723-9511 Fax: (248) 723-9531

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant's Motion to Strike or

Alternatively for a More Definite Statement will be brought for hearing before the Hon. J. Cedric Simpson, 14A-2 District Court for the County of Washtenaw, Tuesday, December 14, 2010 at 11:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

Respectfully submitted,

DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.

Dated: December 2, 2010 By:

GREGORY R. NÈIDLE (P59273) Attorneys for Third Party Defendant 30150 Telegraph Road

Suite 410

Bingham Farms, MI 48025 Phone: (248) 723-9511

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 14A-2 DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW EVELYN C. HUNTER.

Case No. DC 2-10-2016

Plaintiff,

Honorable: J. Cedric Simpson

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC.

Removed from Small Claims, Case No. DC 2101754 SC

Defendant.

EVELYN C. HUNTER

Pro Se Plaintiff 515 Ferris Street Ypsilanti, MI 48147 Phone: (734) 487-9269 DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.

By: Gregory R. Neidle (P59723) Daniel J. Ammon (P50923)

Attorneys for Defendant 30150 Telegraph Road

Suite 410

Bingham Farms, MI 48025 Phone: (248) 723-9511

Fax: (248) 723-9531

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE OR ALTERNATIVELY FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

NOW COMES the Defendant, UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC., by and through its attorneys Dobbs & Neidle, P.C., and pursuant to MCR 2.115(A) and (B) states as follows in support of its Motion to Strike, or Alternatively, for a More Definite Statement:

- 1. Plaintiff, Evelyn C. Hunter, is a Pro Se Plaintiff.
- 2. On or about September 24, 2010, Plaintiff, Evelyn C. Hunter, filed an Affidavit and Claim and attached to it a document entitled "Motion for Breach of Duty/Fraudulent Misrepresentation/Violation of Michigan Fair Debt Collection Practice Act, Uniform

Commercial Code Act, and Occupational Code Act, in the small claims division of the 14A-2 District Court in Ypsilanti, Michigan.

- Defendant filed a Demand for Removal to the District Court on November 23,
 2010.
- 4. Plaintiff's Affidavit and Claim with attached Motion (hereinafter referred to as "Pleading") fail to comply with the Michigan Court Rules' standard governing pleadings and should be stricken.
- 5. Plaintiff's Pleading is vague, ambiguous and fails to provide any particularity from which Defendant can ascertain what Plaintiff's claims are based upon in order to frame a responsive pleading.
- 6. This motion is supported by the accompanying brief.
- 7. A proposed order granting Defendant's motion is attached as Exhibit 2.

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STRIKE, OR ALTERNATIVELY FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

Plaintiff's Pleading grossly fails to comply with the pleading standards set forth in the Michigan Court Rules. See MCR 2.111; See also Pleading attached as **Exhibit 1**. As drafted, it is impossible for the Defendant to form a substantive response to the Pleading. The allegations are vague, ambiguous, convoluted and rambling. It is difficult for Defendant to comprehend exactly what they are being accused of, which in turn prevents the Defendant from formulating any defenses to the disjointed Pleading.

A complaint must contain "[a] statement of the facts, without repetition, on which the pleader relies in stating the cause of action, with the specific allegations necessary reasonably to inform the adverse party of the nature of the claims the adverse party is called on to defend[.]" MCR 2.111(B)(1); see also *Iron Co. v. Sundberg, Carlson &*

Assoc., Inc., 222 Mich App 120, 124, 564 NW 2d 78 (1997). "Each allegation of a pleading must be clear, concise, and direct." MCR 2.111(A)(1). A party may move for a more definite statement when the complaint is so vague or ambiguous that it does not comply with the court rules. MCR 2.115(A). Woods v. SLB Property Management, LLC., 277 Mich App 622, 627; 750 NW 2d 228, 232 (2008).

Plaintiff's Pleading does not meet the requirements of MCR 2.111(B)(1). First, Plaintiff's Affidavit and Claim state that the reason for her claim is "Breach of Duty" and "Quiet Title". See Exhibit 1. Plaintiff then states "See Complaint" attached (presumably in support of these claims). The attached "Complaint" is entitled "Motion for Breach of Duty/Fraudulent Misrepresentation/Violation of Michigan Fair Debt Collection Practice Act, Uniform Commercial Code Act, and Occupational Code Act." *Id.* at 1. Yet, it contains no count for "Breach of Duty", "Misrepresentation" or "Quiet Title". *Id.* Plaintiff's Pleading purportedly claims violations of the Michigan Occupational Code Act (Count 1), Michigan Debt Collection Practices Act (Count 2), Defamation (Count 3), Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Count IV). The Pleading is clearly comprised of paragraphs that have been speciously cut and pasted from some other documents. For example, after Plaintiff's Counts, the Pleading provides a "Standard of Review", "Argument" "Law and Argument" and "Conclusion of Law", all of which are not a statement of claim as required under MCR 2.111(B). See Exhibit 1, pp. 4-7.

Further Plaintiff's pleading does not provide a clear and concise factual statement sufficient to inform Defendant with reasonable definiteness about the type of specific acts or practices alleged to be violated by Defendant. See MCR 2.111(B)(2). Plaintiff's statement of facts comprises of three paragraphs that do not support any of

her allegations as required by MCR 2.111(B). For example, ¶ 1 of Plaintiff's Pleading alleges that:

"On or about July 28, 2010 Plaintiff sent Defendant a Notice and Demand for Proof of Claims, certified number, 7008 1140 0001 0457 1647. Signed by your agent on July 30, 2010. In response to defendants presentment. defendant was given 14 days and elected to remain silent."

Neither this paragraph, nor any of Plaintiff's allegations in her statement of facts can remotely be considered to support Plaintiff's labeled causes of action. Moreover, these paragraphs are unintelligible, vague and ambiguous. See MCR 2.111(A)(1) ("Each allegation of a pleading must be clear, concise, and direct.")

Plaintiff's "Wherefore" Clause is equally indecipherable. That paragraph provides:

"That, every contract of duty imposes an Obligation of Good Faith in its performance or enforcement. If anything, good faith is a minimum standard of conduct in any contact or duty. The legislature has specifically declared is a call for "substantial compliance with the spirit, and not the letter only, of the duty" in its performance. "Good faith" is merely a shorter way of saying something. MCL 4401203."

Here, if Plaintiff may be trying to assert a breach of a contractual claim, Plaintiff must attach the contract relied on. MCR 2.113(F). On the other hand, should Plaintiff be trying to state a claim for fraud or misrepresentation, her claims are too vague and ambiguous and not in accord with the particularity requirements of MCR 2.112(B).

Finally, Plaintiff's Pleading is undeniably vague, overly long to the point of excess, and contains confusing syntax and grammar. Plaintiff also fails to state in an understandable manner what she is alleging or how she supports her claims. The complaint consists mainly of incoherent ramblings, interspersed with legal jargon, resulting in allegations that are not clear, concise, or direct. See MCR 2.111(A)(1).

Woods v. SLB Property Management, LLC., 277 Mich App 622, 627; 750 NW 2d 228,

232 (2008). As submitted, Plaintiff's Pleading is clearly frivolous and is not well

grounded in fact or law.

RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, Defendant, United Collection Bureau, Inc., respectfully requests

that this Honorable Court strike Plaintiff's Pleading in its entirety. Alternatively,

Defendant requests that this Court Order Plaintiff to provide a more definite statement in

compliance with the Michigan Court Rules. Either way, Defendant requests that this

Honorable Court award it costs and attorney fees incurred in bringing this motion

pursuant to MCR 2.114(E) and/or (F).

Respectfully submitted,

DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.

Dated: December 2, 2010

By: V \
GREGORY R. NEIDLE (P59273)

Attorneys for Defendant

30150 Telegraph Road, Ste 410

Bingham Farms, MI 48025 Phone: (248) 723-9511

Fax: (248) 723-9531

5

STATE OF MICHIGAN

IN THE 14A DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW

EVELYN C. HUNTER,

Plaintiff.

Case No. DC 2101754 SC Hon. J. Cedric Simpson

UNITED COLLECTIONS BUREAU,

Defendant.

EVELYN C. HUNTER

Pro Se Plaintiff 515 Ferris Street Ypsilanti, MI 48147 Phone: (734) 487-9269 DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.

By: Gregory R. Neidle (P59723)
Daniel J. Ammon (P50923)
Attorneys for Defendant
30150 Telegraph Road

Suite 410

Bingham Farms, MI 48025 Phone: (248) 723-9511 Fax: (248) 723-9531

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of **Defendant's Notice of Hearing**, **Defendant's Motion to Strike or Alternatively for a More Definite Statement**, **Brief in Support** and this **PROOF OF SERVICE** was served upon Evelyn C. Hunter, Pro Se Plaintiff, via U.S. First Class Mail to them at 515 Ferris Street, Ypsilanti, Michigan, 48147 as disclosed by the pleadings of record herein, with postage fully prepaid on **December 2, 2010**. I declare that the statement above is true to the best of my information, knowledge and belief.

Celly M. Figliacconi

2:11-cv-10559-AC-P.JK
EXHIBIT 5

EVELYN C. HUNTER

515 Ferris Street

Ypsilanti, MI 48197

(734)-487-9629

January 14, 2011

14A-2 District Court

Civil Division

415 W. Michigan Ave.

Ypsilanti, MI 48197

RE: Evelyn C. Hunter v United Recovery Systems LP

Case No.: DC210-2067-GC

Forwarder's File No.: DC2101755-SC

Dear Clerk:

Enclosed please find Plaintiff's Motion to Join Parties, Motion to leave to Court to Amend its Complaint for UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, And, UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP.

Your courtesy is appreciated. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me .

Very truly yours,

/s/Evelyn C. Hunter

EVELYN C. HUNTER

Enclosures

Cc: United Recovery Systems, LP., United Collection Bureau, Citi bank mastercared.



STATE OF MICHIGAN

14-A DISTRICT COURT FOR

WASHTENAW COUNTY

Whited leghors and Defendant

EVELYN CLEO HUNTER,

DC 2-10-0002016-GC

Case No.: DC 2:10-2067GC &

Plaintiff,

Hon:

v.

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU,

UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP.

CITIBANK MASTERCARD,

COMPLAINT

Defendant (s),

JURISDICTION

- Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 USC 1331 and pursuant to 15 USC 1692k(d), and pursuant to 28 USC 1367 for pendent state law claims.
- This action arise out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practice Act, 15 USC 1692 et. Seq. ("FDCPA") and the invasion of Plaintiff personal privacy by these Defendants in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- Venue is proper in this District because the act transactions occurred here, Plaintiff resides here,
 and Defendants transacts business here.

PARTIES

 Plaintiff Evelyn Cleo Hunter, is a natural person who resides in the City of Ypsilanti, County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan, and is a "Consumer" as that term is defined by 15 USC 1692(a)(3). Defendant CITI BANK, MASTERCARD is a "Bank" operating from an address P.O. Box 6000 THE LAKES, NV 89163-6000 and a "Creditor" as the terms is defined by 15 USC 1692(a)(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

EVELYN C. HUNTER V. CITI BANK MASTERCARD

COMPLAINT

- 6. Plaintiff Evelyn Cleo Hunter incurred a Financial obligation that was primarily for personal, family or household purposes and therefore a "debt" as that term is defined 15 USC 1692(a)(5), namely, a credit card from CITIBANK MASTERCARD, which was used to make Plaintiff personal purchases of food, clothing, and shelter-related items.
- Sometimes times thereafter, the alleged debt was Co-signed, placed or otherwise transferred to
 Defendant for collection from Plaintiff.
- 8. Sometime thereafter this matter was settled between Plaintiff and Defendant.
- That, upon information and belief Defendant CITI BANK MASTERCARD is in possession of
 documentation or should be in possession of documentation to confirm the settlement of this
 account from said bankrupt proceedings.
- 10. That, upon information and belief Defendant is in possession or should be in of said Statement of Accounting that bears said balance of \$0.00.
- 11. That, Citi Bank, MasterCard, upon information and Belief retained and/or sold said alleged debt/asset of Mrs. Hunter account number: 542180614993217; to United Collection Bureau, Inc. 5620 Southwick Blvd Suite 206 in Toledo, Ohio 43614, "an debt collector/collection agency".
- That, Citi Bank, MasterCard, upon information and Belief retained and/or sold alleged debt/asset of Mrs. Hunter account number: 542180614993217; to United Recovery Systems LP, P.O. Box 722910 Houston, TX 77272-2910. "an debt collector/collection agency".

13. That, the conduct of Citi bank MasterCard and said Collection agencies, "GANGBANGED" Mrs. Hunter, in an effort to collect this debt. By repeatedly engaging Mrs. Hunter by mail and by phone calls and verbally abusing Mrs. Hunter was a violation of numerous and multiple provision of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. 1692d 1692e 1692e(5), 1692e(7), 1692e(10), and 1692f, amongst others.

UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, INC. V. EVELYN CLEO HUNTER

Case No.: DC2102067-GC

MOITION TO LEAVE TO THE COURT TO AMEND ITS COMPLAINT

General Allegations

- 14. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs

 1 through 13. As set forth full herein.
- 15. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents address service requested for Evelyn C. Hunter 515 FERRIS ST YPSILANTI MI 48197-5303. (See exhibit A).
- 16. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents CITI MASTERCARD Account No: 5424180614993217 URS No: 13917258-VB-7700 Amount Due as of: April 5, 2010 \$1,831.39. Telephone: 888-505-1573, ext 4987, You May Call Our Office 24 Hours A Day. (See exhibit A).
- 17. That, when calling Telephone number 888-50501573, ext 4987, shortly thereafter, Defendant engages in the collection of the account by an automatic payment system. (See exhibit A).
- 18. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents **SETTLEMENT OFFER** \$1,373.54 IN 3PAYMENTS.(*Id at, head-caption).(exhibit A).*
- 19. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, Our client Citibank South Dakota N.A. is offering you a settlement of \$1,373.54 in 3 payments over 3 months starting on April 19, 2010. (See exhibit A).
- 20. That, said correspondence was false and misleading and in violation of 15 U.S.C. 1692 e(4) in that the debt collector could not lawfully take action since Mrs. Hunter did not owe \$1,373,54.

- 21. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, once all three payments of \$457.85 each have been paid to our office on time. We will consider this account settled in full.
- 22. That, said correspondence was false and misleading and in violation of 15 U.S.C. 1692 e(4) in that the debt collector could not lawfully take action since Mrs. Hunter did not owe \$1,373,54.
- 23. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, Each payment must be received by the due date or this settlement will be cancelled. (See exhibit A).
 (Id at line 3.)
- 24. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, if the settlement is cancelled any payments already received will be credited toward the full balance. (See exhibit A) (id. at, line 3.)
- 25. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, <u>PLEASE SEND IN YOUR PAYMENTS</u> along with the payment stub or to the above address listed on the coupon. (See exhibit A).
- 26. That, the address on said coupon is Defendant UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP. P.O. Box 722910 Houston, Texas 77272-2910 and NOT CITI MASTERCARD address.
- 27. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, please call our toll number, 888-505-1573 to confirm your interest in the settlement offer or explain your circumstances. Sincerely, MRS. W WHITE; ext. 4987 United Recovery Systems, LP. (See exhibit A).
- 28. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, This communication is from a debt collector, we are required to inform you that this is an attempt to collect a debt, and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. (See exhibit A).
- 29. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, Detach Coupon And Mail Payment. (See exhibit A).
- 30. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, 1of 3 ACC#13917258-7700 Mail Payment To: United Recovery Systems, LP P.O. Box 722910 Houston, TX 77272-2910 payment amount -\$457.85
 Due Date-April 19, 2010 Payable to: Citibank South Dakota, detach coupon and mail payment.
- 31. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, 1of 3 ACC#13917258-7700 Mail Payment To: United Recovery Systems, LP P.O. Box 722910 Houston, TX 77272-2910 payment amount -\$457.85
 Due Date-May19, 2010 Payable to: Citibank South Dakota, detach coupon and mail payment.

- 32. That, Defendant URS, LP. Represents, 1of 3 ACC#13917258-7700 Mail Payment To: United Recovery Systems, LP P.O. Box 722910 Houston, TX 77272-2910 payment amount \$457.85
 Due Date: June 18, 2010 Payable to: Citibank South Dakota, detach coupon and mail payment.
- 33. That, Mrs. Hunter represents Notice And Demand Certified Correspondence dispute letter number:7008 1140 0001 0457 1623 mailed to URS delivered, July 30, 2010, at 7:36 am, HOUSTON, TX 77272. (See exhibit B).
- 34. That, Mrs. Hunter represents Notice of Default Certified Correspondence dispute letter number: 7010 0780 0000 5845 0784 mailed to URS delivered, August 16, 2010, at 9:03 am, HOUSTON, TX 77272.
- 35. That, Mrs. Hunter represents Demand for Payment Certified Correspondence dispute letter number: 7010 0780 0000 5845 0715 mailed to URS delivered September 03, 2010, 7:41 am, Houston, TX 77272. (See exhibit C).
- 36. That, Defendant <u>UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU</u>, bears the same account number that URS, LP has attempted to collection on.
- 37. That, URS, LP. Called Mrs. Hunter house phone every single day except thanksgiving, Christmas, and New Year, and up to five times a day.
- 38. That, In each of these calls to Mrs. Hunter house phone Defendant URS used an automatic predictive telephone dialing system to place the call.
- 39. That, In each of these calls to Mrs. Hunter house phone Defendant URS used an artificial or prerecorded voice to communicate a message.
- 40. That, In each of these calls to Mrs. Hunter house phone Defendant URS did not identify itself as the caller, rather it left the impression that it was "Urgent".
- 41. That, The use of words "Urgent" conveyed a false sense of urgency regarding the account to Mrs. Hunter.
- 42. That, Defendant URS caused Mrs. Hunter telephone to ring repeatedly and/or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse or harass Mrs. Hunter.

- 43. That, In each of these calls to Mrs. Hunter house phone Defendant URS failed to meaningfully disclose its identity in violation of 15 U.S.C. 1692d(6).
- 44. That, the telephone calls was annoying, distressing and embarrassing for Mrs. Hunter as they were so numerous and came at inconvenient hours of the day.
- 45. That, at a certain point, frustrated by her inability to talk to Defendant URS and tell it to stop calling, Mrs. Hunter stop answer her phone to all numbers she did not recognized.
- 46. That, the conduct of Citi bank MasterCard and said Collection agencies "GANGBANGED" Mrs. Hunter, in an effort to collect this debt. By repeatedly engaging Mrs. Hunter by mail and by phone calls and verbally abusing Mrs. Hunter was a violation of numerous and multiple provision of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. 1692d 1692e 1692e(5), 1692e(7), 1692e(10), and 1692f, amongst others.
- 47. At all relevant times UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP. –in the ordinary course of its business-regularly engaged in the practice of collecting debts on behalf of other individuals or entities.
- 48. UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP. Is a "debt collector" under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6).
- 49. At all times relevant to this complaint, UNITED RECOVERY STSTEMS, LP. Sought to collect a debt.
- 50. That, UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP. Actions violated the provisions of the FDCPA.
- 51. That, Mrs. Hunter has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the FDCPA.

COUNT II - Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 ("TCPA")(URS)

- 52. Mrs. Hunter incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 53. The acts or omissions of the (URS) and its representatives, employees and/or agents constitute numerous and multiple violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

- 54. URS violations are multiple, willful and intentional.
- 55. Pursuant to the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B), Mrs. Hunter is entitled to statutory damages of \$500.00 per violation.
- 56. Pursuant to TCPA, 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3), Mrs. Hunter is entitled to statutory damages of \$1,500.00 per willful or knowing violation.

COUNT III - Michigan Occupational Code (URS)

- 57. Mrs. Hunter incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 58. URS is a "collection agency" as that term is defined in the Michigan Occupational Code ("MOC"), M.C.L. 339.901(b).
- 59. Mrs. Hunter, is a debtor as that is defined in M.C.L. 339.901(f).
- 60. URS's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt against Mrs. Hunter constitute violations of the MOC including but not limited to the following, M.C.L. 339.915(g), (n), (q).
- 61. Mrs. Hunter has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the MOC.
- 62. These violations of the MOC were willful.

EVELYN C. HUNTER V. UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU,

Case No.: DC2-10-0002016 GC.

MOTION TO LEAVE TO THE COURT TO AMEND IT'S COMPLAINT

General Allegations

- 63. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs

 1 through 5. As set forth full herein.
- 64. That Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU represents Mailed to EVELYN C.
 HUNTER 515 FERRIS ST YPSILANTI, MI 48197-5303. Creditor CITIBANK (SOUTH DAKOTA) NA. Creditor account no. 5424180614993217. UCB Account No. 51490273.
 Balance Due \$1968. 59. remit to: UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC. PO BOX 140310
 TOLEDO, OHIO 43614. (See Exhibit A).

- 65. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, represents that "Unless you dispute the

 Validity or any portion thereof, Please, make your payment to Citi at the remit address below

 or call our office for arrangements." (See Exhibit "A"). And, id at line1.
- 66. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, furthered, represents that "as of the date of this letter you Owe the above referenced balance. Because of interest charges that may vary from day to day, the amount due on the day you pay maybe greater. Hence, if you pay the amount shown above, an adjustment may be necessary after your check is received." Id at line 3.
- 67. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, also represents that this is an attempt to collect a debt by UCB, Inc. a debt collector, and any information Obtained will be used for that purpose. *Id at line 10*.
- 68. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, represents when calling their office at 1-800-890-8738, Please refer to reference number 51490273. Sincerely, United Collection Bureau, Inc. Id at line 6.
- That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, represents their business hours are:
 8:00AM-9:00PM Eastern Time Monday Thursday.
 8:00AM-9:00PM Eastern Time Friday.
 8:00AM-12:00 Eastern Time Saturday. *Id at line 9*.
- 70. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, represents the terms and conditions of said Contract for Sale. *Id.at line 11*.
- 71. That, Defendants UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, purpose for contacting Plaintiff was to collect the sum of \$1968.59.
- 72. That, Plaintiff represents Certified Correspondence number 7008 1140 0001 0457 1647 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692g (the fair debt collection practice act-"FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. 1692a, et. Seq. and requested debt validation in writing. (See Exhibit B).
- 73. That, Said Certified Correspondence was tendered by Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU July 30th 2010 at 9:31 am. (Affixed as Exhibit C).

- 74. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, ignored this request within the time allowed, or any other time.
- 75. That, Plaintiff notified Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, of said Notice of Default and Opportunity to cure and Defendant ignored this request. (See Exhibit D).
- 76. That, Plaintiff offer Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, a "Settlement offer" and seeking resolution and/or to mitigate any damages resulting from the non-compliance Defendants ignored this request. (See Exhibit E).
- 77. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, Correspondence date 09/13/2010, sent to Plaintiff acknowledging the "Insolvency" of Certified Correspondence on July 30, 2010.
 Certified correspondence on August 16, 2010. And most recent certified correspondence on September 03, 2010. (See Exhibit F).
- 78. That, Defendant UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, Correspondence also represents on July 30, 2010. Upon receipt of your initial Correspondence, we had forwarded your request for debt validation to the original creditor. And that said account was placed on hold and have not made any attempts to collect on this debt from said date. Upon receipt of said media, we will forward your attention as requested.
- 79. That, Defendants UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, September 10th acknowledgement of Default is some 40 days after represent their "office" "Insolvency" with respect to Plaintiff Certified Correspondence.
- 80. That, "Upon information and belief" another Collection Agency UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS LP, is attempting to collect on the very Account. (See Exhibit G).
- 81. That, the conduct of Citi bank MasterCard and said Collection agencies "GANGBANGED" Mrs. Hunter, in an effort to collect this debt. By repeatedly engaging Mrs. Hunter by mail and by phone calls and verbally abusing Mrs. Hunter was a violation of numerous and multiple provision of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. 1692d 1692e 1692e(5), 1692e(7), 1692e(10), and 1692f, amongst others.

- 82. At all relevant times UNITED COLLECTION SYSTEMS, LP. –in the ordinary course of its business-regularly engaged in the practice of collecting debts on behalf of other individuals or entities.
- 83. UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP. Is a "debt collector" under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. 1692a(6).
- 84. At all times relevant to this complaint, UNITED RECOVERY STSTEMS, LP. Sought to collect a debt.
- 85. That, UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP. Actions violated the provisions of the FDCPA.
- 86. That, Mrs. Hunter has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the FDCPA.

COUNT II - Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991 ("TCPA")(URS)

- 87. Mrs. Hunter incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 88. The acts or omissions of the (URS) and its representatives, employees and/or agents constitute numerous and multiple violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 89. URS violations are multiple, willful and intentional.
- 90. Pursuant to the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3)(B), Mrs. Hunter is entitled to statutory damages of \$500.00 per violation.
- 91. Pursuant to TCPA, 47 U.S.C. 227(b)(3), Mrs. Hunter is entitled to statutory damages of \$1,500.00 per willful or knowing violation.

COUNT III - Michigan Occupational Code (URS)

- 92. Mrs. Hunter incorporates the preceding allegations by reference.
- 93. URS is a "collection agency" as that term is defined in the Michigan Occupational Code ("MOC"), M.C.L. 339.901(b).
- 94. Mrs. Hunter, is a debtor as that is defined in M.C.L. 339.901(f).

- 95. URS's foregoing acts in attempting to collect this alleged debt against Mrs. Hunter constitute violations of the MOC including but not limited to the following, M.C.L. 339.915(g), (n), (q).
- 96. Mrs. Hunter has suffered damages as a result of these violations of the MOC.
- 97. These violations of the MOC were willful.

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICE ACT
Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1
through 19. As set forth full herein.

- 98. Refusing the validate a debt in writing pursuant to 15 USC 1692g,(a)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5), and Continuing to contact Plaintiff after written notification to cease all communication pursuant to 15 USC 1692c(C).
- 99. Failed to identify themselves, pursuant to 15 USC 1692b(1).
- 100. Advertised for sale a debt prohibited pursuant to 15 USC 1692.
- 101. Used false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection pursuant to 15 USC 1692e.
- 102. Used unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt.
- 103. Attempted to collect any amount not authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law.
- 104. Violated 15 USC 1692e(2) in that it repeatedly falsely represented the character and amount of the debt.
- 105. Defendant's action in inflating the amount of the debt further violated 15 USC 1692 f (1) in that it was an attempt to collect a sum, including any interest, fee, charge or expense incidental to the principal obligation, that was not authorized by the express agreement of Plaintiff.
- 106. Violated 15 USC 1692g in that the Defendants failed to provide plaintiff with the information and rights required therein within 5 days of the initial communication.

- 107. Violated 15 USC 1692 e (11) in that in no telephone contract did they advise either Plaintiff that they were attempting to collect a debt and information would be used for that purpose.
- 108. For an award of actual damages pursuant to 15 USC 1692k(a)(1) against each and every Defendant.

COUNT TWO

INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

- 109. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 30. As set forth full herein.
- 110. Defendant intentionally interfered, physically or otherwise, with the solitude, seclusion and or private concerns or affairs of the Plaintiffs.
- 111. Defendant intentionally caused harm to Plaintiffs' emotional wellbeing by engaging in highly offensive conduct in the course of collecting this debt thereby invading and intruding upon Plaintiffs' right to privacy.
- 112. Plaintiff had a reasonable expectation of privacy in Plaintiffs' solitude, seclusion, and/or private concerns and affairs.
- 113. As a result of such invasions of privacy, Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from each and every Defendant.

COUNT THREE NEGLIGENCE MISREPRESENTATION

- 114. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 35. As set forth full herein.
- 115. Based on the information in said Complaint Defendant made representations of material fact.
- 116. these representations were in fact false, when Defendant the representation knew it was false.
- 117. Defendant made the representation with the intent to defraud Plaintiff to act,
- 118. Plaintiff did not know the representation was false and believed they were true.

- 119. Plaintiff acted in justifiable reliance upon the truth of the representations.
- 120. As a result of such Negligence, Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from each and every Defendant.

COUNT FOUR FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT

- 121. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 43. As set forth full herein.
- 122. Defendant concealed/suppressed material facts it was duly bound to disclose of and,
- 123. Defendant concealed/suppressed these facts with the intent to defraud and induce Plaintiff to act as described in their letter,
- at the time Plaintiff acted, was unaware of the concealed or suppressed facts and would not have taken the action if Plaintiff had known the facts.
- 125. As a result of such Fraudulent Concealment, Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from each and every Defendant.

COUNT FIVE PROMISE WITHOUT INTENT TO PERFORM

- 126. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 57. As set forth full herein.
- 127. Defendant made a promise about a material matter without any intention of performing it;
- 128. Said promise was made with the Intent to defraud and induce Plaintiff to rely upon it to act as described in said complaint.
- 129. At the time Plaintiff acted, Plaintiff was unaware of Defendant's intention not to perform the promise.
- 130. Plaintiff acted in justifiable upon the promise.
- 131. As a result of Defendant's Promise without intent to perform, Plaintiffs are entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from each and every Defendant.

COUNT SIX MICHIGAN DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 132. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 62. As set forth full herein.
- 133. That, defendant violated the Michigan Collection Practice Act, MCL 445. 251 et seq. include, but are not limited to the following:
- 134. That, defendant Making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue, or deceptive statement or claim in a communication to collect a debt;
- 135. That, defendant Using a harassing, oppressive, or abusive method to collect a debt;
- 136. That, defendant Failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee in violation of MCL 445. 252 (q).
- 137. That, defendant violated the Michigan Collection Practice Act, MCL 445. 251 et seq. include, but are not limited to the following:
- 138. That, defendant Making an inaccurate, misleading, untrue, or deceptive statement or claim in a communication to collect a debt;
- 139. That, defendant Using a harassing, oppressive, or abusive method to collect a debt;
- 140. That, defendant Failing to implement a procedure designed to prevent a violation by an employee in violation of MCL 445. 252 (q).

COUNT SEVEN DEFAMATION

- 141. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 62. As set forth full herein.
- 142. That, defendant Defendants, conduct constitutes defamation at common law.
- 143. That, defendant Defendants, false communication, acts and omissions resulted in defamation of Plaintiff.
- 144. That, defendant Defendants, false communication, acts, and omissions harmed the reputation of Plaintiff.

145. That, Defendants, false communication were the type that would and/or did deter a third person from associating or dealing with Plaintiff.

COUNT SEVEN

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 146. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1. through 67. As set forth full herein.
- 147. That, defendant, conduct has inflicted emotional distress that with regard to defendants assentation's and or solicitation. Without the requisite investigation. That, is the duty of good faith is defined as a covenant that neither party shall do anything, which will have the effect of destroying or injuring the right of the other party to receive the fruits of the contract.

COUNT EIGHT

VIOLATION OF MICHIGAN CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 148. Plaintiffs hereby restate and incorporate by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 77. As set forth full herein.
- 149. That, Defendants' on the above dates cause probability of confusion or misunderstanding as to the source, sponsorship, approval, or certification of goods or service.
- 150. That, Defendants' on the above dates Advertised or representing goods or services with intent not to dispose of those goods or services as advertised or represented. MCL 445. 903 (g);
- 151. That, Defendants' on the above dates represented to a party to whom goods or services are supplied in response to a request made by or on behalf of the party, when they are not. MCL 445. 903 (h);.
- That, Defendants' on the above dates caused probability of confusion or misunderstanding with respect to the authority of a salesperson, representative, or agent to negotiate the final terms of a transaction. MCL 445. 903 (m);
- 153. That, Defendants' on the above dates caused probability of confusion or misunderstanding as to the legal rights, obligations, or remedies of a party to a transaction. MCL 445. 903 (n);

- 154. That, Defendants' on the above dates represented or implying that the subject of a consumer transaction will be provided promptly, or at a reasonable time. MCL 445. 903 (q);
- 155. That, Defendants' on the above dates failed to reveal a material fact, the omission of which tends to mislead or deceive the consumer, and which fact could not be reasonably known. MCL 445. 903 (s);
- 156. That, Defendants' on the above dates failed to reveal facts that are material to the transaction in light of representation of fact made in a positive manner. MCL 445. 903 (cc);

That, Defendants' on the above dates by knowingly avoids, evades, or prevents compliance, in whole or in part, with an investigation. MCL 445. 908 (2) (b).

Demand for Jury Trial

157. Mrs. Hunter demands trial by jury in this action.

Demand for Judgment for Relief

- 158. Accordingly, Mrs. Hunter requests that the Court grant:
- a. Equitable relief under statute and common law, in the form of a declaration that the amount sought by Defendants is not actually owed and an injunction prohibiting further collection of those amounts from Mrs. Hunter.
- Actual damages for items including emotional distress, mental anguish, frustration, humiliation, and embarrassment.
- c. Statutory damages.
- d. Statutory costs and attorney fees.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Evelyn C. Hunter

EVELYN C. HUNTER

515 Ferris Street

Ypsilanti, Michigan 48197

(734)-487-9269

blacklaw85@yahoo.com

Dated: January 14, 2011.

2:11-cv-10559-AC-PJK
2:11-cv-10559-AC-P.JK Doc # 1 Filed 02/11/11 Pg 61 of 65 Pg JD 61
EXHIBIT 6

STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE 14A-2 DISTRICT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHTENAW

EVELYN C. HUNTER,

Case Nos. GC 2-10-2016 2-10-2067

Plaintiff.

Hon. J. Cedric Simpson

UNITED COLLECTION BUREAU, INC., UNITED RECOVERY SYSTEMS, LP, and CITIBANK MASTERCARD,

Defendants.

EVELYN C. HUNTER

Pro Se Plaintiff 515 Ferris Street Ypsilanti, MI 48147 Phone: (734) 487-9269

RONALD B. RICH & ASSOCIATES

By: Jan Jeffrey Rubenstein Attorneys for United Recovery Systems, LP 30665 Northwestern Hwy. Suite 280

Farmington Hills, MI 48334 Phone: (248) 851-4411 Fax: (248) 851-1094

DOBBS & NEIDLE, P.C.

By: Gregory R. Neidle (P59723)
Daniel J. Ammon (P50923)
Attorneys for Defendant, United
Collection Bureau, only
30150 Telegraph Road
Suite 410
Bingham Farms, MI 48025
Phone: (248) 723-9511
Fax: (248) 723-9531

ORDER DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE, OR ALTERNATIVELY FOR A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT; AND

ORDER JOINING DEFENDANT AND CONSOLIDATING
CASE NUMBERS AND ALL CLAIMS

At a session of said Court held in the City of Ypsilanti, County of Washtenaw, State of Michigan on:

2/1/11

J. CEDRIC SIMPSON P39536

District Court Judge

On the Motion of the Defendant United Collection Bureau; the Court having heard oral argument on the Motion to Strike on December 14, 2010 and on January 18, 2011, the Court being fully advised in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Strike, or Alternatively, for a More Definite Statement is denied as to the request to strike, and granted as to a more definite statement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint in Case No. 2:10-2067 GC amends her previously filed Complaint against Defendant United Collection Bureau, Inc.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant United Collection Bureau, Inc. is joined as a party in Case No. 2:10-2067 GC pursuant to MCR 2.206(A)(2).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Case Numbers 2-10-2016 and 2-10-2067 and all claims therein are hereby consolidated and shall henceforth be titled Case Number 2-10-2067.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff has until January 31, 2011 to properly serve Defendant Citibank Mastercard with the Complaint in Case No. 2:10-2067 GC.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Answers to the Complaint in Case No. 2:10-2067 GC are due on or before March 4, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties shall appear for a status conference on March 15, 2011 at 10:30 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

District Court Judge

Cedru Simpson

EXHIBIT

7

Approved, SCAO	-10009-AC-PJK D	OC # 1 Original COUNTINATION PO 1st copy - Defendant	65 of 65 Pg ID 65 2nd copy - Plaintiff 3rd copy - Return
STATE OF MICHIG			CASE NO.
JUDIC	AL CIRCUIT Y PROBATE 2011	UMMONS AND COMPLAINT	00311-10160
Court address W. No.	FICE OF THEOSENERAL COLUSION	e spright Mil	SIGN USULLARY
Plaintiff name(s), address(es), a	and telephone no(s). HUN+=K		e(s), address(es), and telephone no(s).
SIS FERRI	V MI 4819	7. /	ank master Card
73 4 48 Plaintiff attorney, bar no., addre		nlew)	Ork, NY 10022
Service Military and	ed Mhangar en Frans.		
SUMMONS NOTICE TO	THE DEFENDANT: 1	n the name of the people of the St	ate of Michigan you are notified:
or take other lawful act 3. If you do not answer or t	ion with the court (28 da	ays if you were served by mail or you	he court and serve a copy on the other part u were served outside this state). MCR 2.111(C entered against you for the relief demande
in the complaint.	This summons expires	Court clerk	
This summons is invalid unless	served on or before its expi	ration date.	
COMPLAINT Instruction	: The following is informa	ent must be sealed by the seal of the co ation that is required to be in the cap	tion of every complaint and is to be completed
oy the plaintiff. Actual alle Family Division Cases	gations and the claim for	relief must be stated on additiona	l complaint pages and attached to this form
There is no other pending members of the parties	Favores Toy		on of circuit court involving the family or family
An action within the jurise has been previously file	sdiction of the family div	ision of the circuit court involving	the family or family members of the parties Court.
The action remains		ending. The docket number and t	the judge assigned to the action are:
Docket no.	- Programs	Judge	Bar no.
General Civil Cases There is no other pendin A civil action between the been previously filed in the action remains	ese parties or other part	ies arising out of the transaction o	n or occurrence as alleged in the complaint or occurrence alleged in the complaint hasCourt. he judge assigned to the action are:
Docket no.	The state of the s	Judge	Bar no.
ENUE	Self all substitutions		
Plaintiff(s) residence (include cit	y, township, or village)	Defendant(s) residence	(include city, township, or village)
Place where action arose or busi	ness conducted		AND BE MENTERS OF THE PARTY OF
1-25-11	A Charles	Erelyn	2 Duntes
ate	2017 (1987)	Signature of attorney/pla	untiff of many was stress to be a second to be a

If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you to fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements.